IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	CASE NO. 4:12cv136
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Came on for consideration the report of the United States Magistrate Judge in this action, this matter having been heretofore referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On November 8, 2012, the report of the Magistrate Judge was entered containing proposed findings of fact and recommendations that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Based on a Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Dkt. 8) be DENIED.

The court has made a *de novo* review of the objections raised by Defendant and is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the objections are without merit as to the ultimate findings of the Magistrate Judge that Defendant had sufficient minimum contacts with Texas to subject it to jurisdiction here. The court hereby adopts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of this court.

Therefore, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Based on a Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (Dkt. 8) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this the 27th day of March, 2013.

RICHARD A. SCHELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE